


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
vs.  
  
MIGUEL ORDUNO,  
  
Defendant.

CASE NO. 12CR2278-AJB

**JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL**

IT APPEARING that the defendant is now entitled to be discharged for the reason that:

- ☐ an indictment has been filed in another case against the defendant and the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal of this case, without prejudice; or
- ☐ the Court has dismissed the case for unnecessary delay; or
- ☒ the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal, without prejudice; or
- ☐ the Court has granted the motion of the defendant for a judgment of acquittal; or
- ☐ a jury has been waived, and the Court has found the defendant not guilty; or
- ☐ the jury has returned its verdict, finding the defendant not guilty;
- ☒ of the offense(s) as charged in the Indictment/Information:

21:952 and 960; 18:2 - Importation of Marijuana; Aiding and Abetting

IT IS THEREFORE ADJUDGED that the defendant is hereby discharged.

DATED: JUNE 7, 2012

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David H. Bartick", written over a horizontal line.

David H. Bartick  
U.S. Magistrate Judge